## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

United States of America

V •

Criminal No. 09-cr-176-01-PB

Aliaksandr Karasik

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

In accordance with Section 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act,

18 U.S.C. §§ 3141 et seq., a hearing was conducted on November

13, 2009, for the purpose of determining whether to detain

defendant, Aliaksandr Karasik, who has been indicted on one count

of access device fraud.

Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b), a court, in making a determination regarding detention, must evaluate the risk of the defendant's flight, the risk to the safety of any other person, and the risk to the safety of the community. In circumstances when detention is not mandated by the court, the court is nonetheless empowered to impose conditions on release. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(c).

Pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) a court, in assessing the risks noted in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b), shall consider the following: (1) the nature and circumstances of the

offense charged; (2) the weight of the evidence as to guilt;
(3) the history and characteristics of the accused, including
family ties, past history, financial resources and employment;
and (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or
the community that would be posed by a release.

During the course of a hearing conducted pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142, the government typically retains the burden of persuading the court that "'no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure' the defendant's presence at trial." United States v. Perez-Franco, 839 F.2d 867, 870 (1st Cir. 1988) (quoting United States v. Palmer-Contreras, 835 F.2d 15, 17-18 (1st Cir. 1987)); United States v. Patriarca, 948 F.2d 789, 793 (1st Cir. 1991). For its part, the government is required to offer a preponderance of the evidence to prove risk of flight. See Patriarca, 948 F.2d at 792-93. Facts necessary to find that no combination will reasonably assure the safety of any person and the community require satisfaction of the "clear and convincing" standard. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2).

In the case at hand, the indictment itself constitutes probable cause to believe that the offenses charged have been committed and that the defendant has committed them.

Here, I find that the government has met its burden with regard to risk of flight. Specifically, the weight of the evidence is substantial - he has been photographed (video) on numerous instances of credit card fraud. He is unemployed, except for his illegal efforts with his credit card fraud gang. He is a citizen of Belarus and has a woman and child in Belarus. He was arrested leaving the country within one-two days of secret service contact about the credit card scheme at his home. Belarus won't extradite its citizens.

I am satisfied from the representations and documents offered during the hearing that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community.

Upon full consideration of the arguments offered by the government and defense, I am satisfied that the defendant poses a risk of flight.

Accordingly, it is  ${\color{red} \underline{\textbf{ORDERED}}}$  that the defendant be detained pending trial.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody

pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

SO ORDERED.

James R. Muirhead

United States Magistrate Judge

Date: November 13, 2009

cc: Peter E. Papps, Esq.

Jessica C. Brown, Esq.

U.S. Marshal U.S. Probation